

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,624	05/11/2001	Roland De La Mettrie	05725.0414-01	5645
22852	7590 10/21/2003		EXAMINE	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			ELHILO, EISA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1751	19
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003	( )

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/852,624	METTRIE ET AL.				
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eisa B Elhilo	1751				
Peri d fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence address	•			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 J	<u>lune 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Thi	is action is non-fina	l.				
3) <u></u> Dianosit	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the practice			s is			
·	ion of Claims Claim(s) 30-53 is/are pending in the applicatio	n					
4)[			n				
5)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>47-53</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 30-46 is/are rejected.						
7)□							
<i>′</i> =	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requireme	nt.				
•	ion Papers	•					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved	o) disapproved by the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the ac	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).				
14) 🗌 A	☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	)	• •					
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>18</u>	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ner:	_·			

## DETAILED ACTION

1 This action is responsive to the response filed on June 18, 2003.

Claims 30-46 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomura et al. (US 6,027,719) in view of Lim et al. (US 6,045,590), for the reasons set forth in the previous office action in paper No. 16.

3 Claims 47-53 are withdrawn from consideration for the reasons set forth in the previous office action in paper No. 16.

## Response to Applicant's Arguments

4 Applicant's arguments filed 6/18/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection based upon Tomura (US' 719) in view of Lim (US' 590),

Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the references and, there is no evidence
of a reasonable expectation of success even if the references were combined.

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments because the primary reference of Tomura (US' 719) discloses the use of anionic surfactants as the genus in a hair dyeing composition (see col. 3, lines 42-44). Tomura also suggests that for practice, it is necessary to add surfactants and polymers in view of usability (see col. 1, lines 48-50). Lim (US' 590) in analogous art of hair dyeing compositions, teaches a composition comprising a different species of anionic surfactants and suggests that a combination of different surfactants can be used to impart particular viscosity and foaming- properties (see col. 9, lines 39-51), and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to select any of the species of the genus taught by reference, including those of the claims, because an ordinary artisan would have the

Application/Control Number: 09/852,624

Art Unit: 1751

reasonable expectation that any of the species of the genus would have similar properties and thus, the same use as the genus as a whole. Also there is a reasonable expectation of success to add more than one surfactant or a combination of surfactants in the dyeing composition for viscosity purposes as taught by Lim. Therefore, the prima facie case of obviousness has been established.

5 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B Elhilo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0217. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30-5:00) with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (703) 308-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,624

Art Unit: 1751

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Eisa Elhilo

October 7, 2003

YOGENDRA N. GUPTA

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700